Art Unit 2674

Attorney Docket No. 2658-0268P

Page 5

REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the very thorough consideration given

the present application.

Claims 1-10 are now present in this application. Claim 1 is independent,

and has been amended. Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is

respectfully requested.

Priority Under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Applicant thanks the Examiner for acknowledging Applicant's claim for

foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119, and receipt of the certified priority

document.

Drawings

Applicant has not received a Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing

Review PTO-948 or other indication of whether or not the formal drawings have

been approved by the Draftsperson. Clarification in the next Office Action is

respectfully requested.

Art Unit 2674

Attorney Docket No. 2658-0268P

Page 6

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,320,691 to Ouchi et al. (Ouchi). This

rejection is respectfully traversed.

A complete discussion of the Examiner's rejection is set forth in the Office

Action, and is not being repeated here.

While not conceding the appropriateness of the Examiner's rejection, but

merely to advance prosecution of the instant application, Applicant respectfully

submits that independent claim 1 has been amended to recite a combination of

elements in a electro-luminescence display, including tape carrier packages

connecting the driving circuit boards and the electro-luminescence panel in a

planar state wherein the tape carrier packages and the driving circuit boards

are disposed upon a surface of said panel.

Applicant respectfully submits that this combination of elements as set

forth in independent claim 1 is not disclosed or made obvious by the prior art of

record, including Ouchi.

The Examiner states that Ouchi teaches a display panel comprising

driving circuit boards (104) for applying driving signals to a gate line and a data

line provided at the panel; and tape carrier packages (103) connecting the driving

circuit boards (104) and the panel in a planar state wherein the tape carrier

Art Unit 2674

Attorney Docket No. 2658-0268P

Page 7

packages (103) and the driving circuit boards (104) are disposed in a common

plane.

The TCP (tape carrier package) 103 is mounted on a display panel 102

including a pair of substrates 102a and 102b. However, PCB board 104

(asserted by the Examiner to be a driving circuit) is not disposed upon a

surface of panel 102. In other words, TCP 103 and PCB 104 are not both

disposed upon a surface of panel 102.

Therefore Ouchi fails to disclose or suggest tape carrier packages

connecting the driving circuit boards and the electro-luminescence panel in a

planar state wherein the tape carrier packages and the driving circuit boards

are disposed upon a surface of said panel, as recited in independent claim 1, as

amended.

Claims 2-10 depend, either directly or indirectly on independent claim 1.

Since Ouchi fails to disclose or suggest the above-recited features of

independent claim 1, Ouchi cannot render claims 1-10 obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art. Reconsideration and withdrawal of this art grounds of

rejection are respectfully requested.

Conclusion

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed,

accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that

Application No.: 09/878,401 Attorney Docket No. 2658-0268P Art Unit 2674

Unit 2674 Page 8

the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding rejections and that they be

withdrawn. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the

outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition

for allowance.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will

expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone

Percy L. Square, Registration No. 51,084, at (703) 205-8034, in the Washington,

D.C. area.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment is respectfully

requested.

Applicant respectfully petitions under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a)

and 1.17 for a two-month extension of time in which to respond to the

Examiner's Office Action. The Extension of Time Fee in the amount of \$410.00 is

attached hereto.

Art Unit 2674

Attorney Docket No. 2658-0268P

Page 9

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By:

Joseph A. Kolasch Reg. No.: 22,463

JAK/PLS:asc/bsh;sld

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

Telephone: (703)205-8000